arXiv:1512.08932v1 [math.NT] 30 Dec 2015

MULTIFRACTAL ANALYSIS OF THE BRJUNO FUNCTION

STÉPHANE JAFFARD AND BRUNO MARTIN

Abstract. We determine the 1-exponent (according to the Calderón-Zygmund definition) of the Brjuno function B everywhere, thus showing that it is a new example of multifractal function. We also discuss various notions of pointwise regularity of the function B.

Keywords: Brjuno function, continued fractions, irrationality exponent, Diophantine approximation, T^p_α regularity, p-exponent, multifractal analysis.

AMS classification: 11A55, 26A30, 28A80.

1. Introduction

If x is an irrational number in $]0,1[$, let

$$x = [0; a_1, \cdots a_n, \cdots] \tag{1}$$

denote its continued fraction expansion. The convergents p_n/q_n of x are

$$\frac{p_n}{q_n} = \cfrac{1}{a_1 + \cfrac{1}{a_2 + \cfrac{1}{\ddots + \cfrac{1}{a_n}}}}$$

(following the standard tradition, we will not write explicitly the dependency of p_n, q_n and a_n in x except when it will be needed).

At irrational points of $]0,1[$, the Brjuno function is defined as

$$B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} |p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}x| \log\left(\frac{p_{n-1} - x\ q_{n-1}}{q_n x - p_n}\right), \tag{2}$$

Addresses: **Stéphane Jaffard**: Université Paris Est, Laboratoire d'Analyse et de Mathématiques Appliquées, CNRS UMR 8050, UPEC, Créteil, France, jaffard@u-pec.fr

Bruno Martin: Université du Littoral Côte d'Opale, EA 2797, Laboratoire de Mathématiques Pures et Appliquées Joseph Liouville, F- 62228 Calais, France, martin@lmpa.univ-littoral.fr .

where, by convention,

$$(p_{-1}, q_{-1}) = (1, 0), \quad (p_0, q_0) = (0, 1), \quad \text{and} \quad (p_1, q_1) = (1, a_1),$$

so that the first term in (2) is $\log(1/x)$. The Brjuno function is extended by periodicity on $\mathbb{R} - \mathbb{Q}$. An irrational number x is a Brjuno number if the series defining $B(x)$ is convergent.

The Brjuno function plays an important role in the theory of holomorphic dynamical systems. It was first introduced by J.-C. Yoccoz, see [35], because it encapsulates a key information concerning analytic small divisor problems in dimension 1: Following Siegel [33], Brjuno [5] and Yoccoz [35], germs with linear part $e^{2i\pi x}$ are analytically conjugate to a rotation if and only if x is a Brjuno number, i.e. if $B(x) < \infty$.

Global regularity properties of B have been studied by B. Marmi, P. Moussa and J.-C. Yoccoz in [23], showing that $B \in BMO$ ("Bounded Mean Oscillations", i.e. there exists C such that, for any interval I, $\frac{1}{|I|}\int_I |B(x) - B_I| dx \leq C$, where B_I is the average of B on I).

Local properties of B were recently investigated by M. Balazard and B. Martin in [1]: They showed that its Lebesgue points are precisely the Bruno numbers, and they obtained sharp equivalents of the average of B over an interval, which will play a key-role in our study, see (10).

Our purpose is to complement these regularity results by performing a *multifractal analysis* of the Brjuno function. The full multifractal analysis of a function f consists into three steps:

- Choose a *pointwise regularity exponent* compatible with the global function space setting where f is considered,
- determine the value taken by this exponent at every point,
- compute the Hausdorff dimensions $d_f(H)$ of the sets of points where this exponent takes a given value H.

The function $H \to d_f(H)$ is the *multifractal spectrum* of f. Multifractal analysis has also been developped in the setting of measures and even of distributions, see e.g.[3, 26, 28] and references therein.

Several clues indicate that the tools supplied by multifractal analysis are relevant for the Brjuno function: First it is a *cocycle* under the action of the modular group, see [24], which follows from the facts that B is 1-periodic and also satisfies the functional equation

$$\forall x \in [0, 1] - \mathbb{Q}, \qquad B(x) = -\log(x) + xB\left(\frac{1}{x}\right). \tag{3}$$

This property is reminiscent of the behavior of the Jacobi theta function under modular transforms; and, in the Jacobi case, this behavior is the key ingredient in the determination of the pointwise exponent of

the *non-differentiable Riemann function* [16], and of related trigonometric series [32]. Recent extensions to other trigonometric series also related to modular forms (such as Eisenstein series) have also been worked out by I. Petrykiewicz in [29, 30]. Note also that (2) indicates that Diophantine approximation properties might play a role in the local regularity properties of B. This was already the case for several multifractal functions, such as the Riemann function [16], and some of its generalizations investigated by F. Chamizo, and A. Ubis in [10], and by T. Rivoal and J. Roques in [31].

The *Marmi-Moussa-Yoccoz conjecture* is another regularly problem related with the Brjuno function: It states that the difference between the Brjuno function and the sum of the logarithm of the conformal radius of fixed Siegel disks of monic quadratic polynomials belongs to $C^{1/2}$, see [23]. Key steps towards the resolution of this conjecture have been obtained by X. Buff, D. Cheraghi and A. Chéritat, see [6, 11].

In order to perform the multifractal analysis of B, a first question is to determine a pointwise exponent which is fitted to its study. As mentioned above, this will be a consequence of the choice of a right function space setting for B.

The two notions of pointwise regularity most commonly used are the *Hölder exponent*, which is defined for locally bounded functions (see Section 4.2) and the *local dimension*, which is defined for positive Radon measures (see Section 4.1). However, these exponents are not fitted to the analysis of the Brjuno function for the following reasons. First, B is not locally bounded (i.e. does not coincide a.e. with a locally bounded function), because of the logarithmic singularities in the definition (2) which are centered at all rational points (note that the series (2) is positive so that cancellations between terms cannot occur). As regards the local dimension, the problem is of a different nature: Since B is positive, we can interpret it as a positive Radon measure, but its local dimension is constant (see Section 4.1) so that it cannot measure the variations of regularity that exist in the Brjuno function.

These variations will be put into light through the use of a third notion of pointwise regularity, introduced by Calderón and Zygmund see [7], which is fitted to the study of functions that belong to L^p_{loc}. We recall the definition in the setting of functions defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. In the following, $\mathbf{B}(x_0, r)$ denotes the open ball of center x_0 and radius r, and $|\mathbf{B}(x_0, \rho)|$ denotes its volume.

Definition 1. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $\alpha \geq -d/p$. Let $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$; f belongs to $T^p_\alpha(x_0)$ if there exist $C > 0$ and a polynomial P of degree less than α such that, for ρ small enough,*

$$\left(\frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}(x_0, \rho)|} \int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)} |f(x) - P(x - x_0)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C\rho^\alpha. \qquad (4)$$

The p-exponent of f at x_0 is

$$h^p_f(x_0) = \sup\{\alpha : f \in T^p_\alpha(x_0)\}.$$

Remarks:

- The normalization chosen in (4) is such that the simple *cusp singularities* $|x - x_0|^\alpha$ have an Hölder and a p-exponent which take the same value α at x_0 (for any $p \geq 1$).
- Definition 1 is a natural substitute for pointwise Hölder regularity when functions in L^p_{loc} are considered. In particular, the p-exponent can take negative values down to $-d/p$, and typically allows to deal with singularities which are locally of the form $1/|x - x_0|^\gamma$ for $\gamma < d/p$.
- The condition on the degree of P (which is required to ensure uniqueness of P) implies that, if $\alpha \leq 0$, then $P = 0$.

Note also that, for $p = 1$, if $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, and if the left-hand side of (4) is a $o(1)$, then x_0 is a Lebesgue point of f and the constant term of P is the Lebesgue value of f at x_0, i.e. is

$$\lim_{\rho \to 0} \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}(x_0, \rho)|} \int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)} f(x)\, dx. \qquad (5)$$

Indeed, if we denote by D this constant term,

$$\left| \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}(x_0, \rho)|} \int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)} f(x)dx - D \right| \leq \frac{1}{|\mathbf{B}(x_0, \rho)|} \int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)} |f(x) - D|\, dx = o(1).$$

It follows that the 1-exponent measures the rate of convergence of the local averages (5) in the Lebesgue theorem. Therefore the determination of the 1-exponent that we will perform can be seen as a quantitative sharpening of the theorem of M. Balazard and B. Martin stating that every Brjuno point is a Lebesgue point of the Brjuno function. This interpretation is our main motivation for focusing on the case $p = 1$. However, in Section 4.3 we will show how the computations that we perform can be modified to cover arbitrary ps (the conclusion is that, at any point, the p-exponent is independent of p).

In order to state our main result, i.e. the determination of the 1-exponent of B at every point, we need to recall the notion of *(Diophantine) irrationality exponent*.

Definition 2. *Let x_0 be an irrational number, and $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ the sequence of convergents of the continued fraction expansion of x_0. Let $\tau_n(x_0)$ be defined by*

$$\left| x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| = \frac{1}{q_n^{\tau_n(x_0)}}. \tag{6}$$

If x_0 is irrational, then the irrationality exponent (also called Diophantine approximation exponent or Diophantine order) of x_0 is

$$\tau(x_0) = \limsup_{n \to +\infty} \tau_n(x_0).$$

If x_0 is irrational, then $|x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n}| < \frac{1}{q_n^2}$, so that $\tau_n(x_0) > 2$, and $\tau(x_0) \geq 2$. Let us recall the following equivalent definition[1] for the irrationality exponent of x_0 : $\tau(x_0)$ is the supremum of the $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ such that there exists infinitely many $(p, q) \in \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{N}^*$ such that $|x - p/q| \leq 1/q^\tau$. The following result shows that the pointwise regularity of B at a point x_0 is a function of its irrationality exponent only.

Theorem 1. *If $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $h_B^1(x_0) = 0$. Otherwise,*

$$h_B^1(x_0) = \frac{1}{\tau(x_0)}.$$

Remark: Since almost every real number x satisfies $\tau(x) = 2$ (see e.g. Chap. 10.3 of [12]) it follows that h_B^1 takes the value $1/2$ almost everywhere. In the opposite direction, since quasi-every real number (in the sense of Baire categories) satisfies $\tau(x) = +\infty$, see [27], it follows that h_B^1 vanishes quasi-everywhere (i.e. vanishes at least on a countable intersection of open dense subsets).

We now derive the consequence of Theorem 1 for multifractal analysis.

Definition 3. *Let $p \in [1, +\infty)$ and $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$. The level sets of h_f^p, denoted by E_H^p, are*

$$\forall H \in \left[-\frac{d}{p}, +\infty \right], \qquad E_H^p = \{x : \ \ h_f^p(x) = H\}.$$

The p-spectrum of f is the function

$$d_f^p : \ \left[-\frac{d}{p}, +\infty \right] \ \longrightarrow \ \mathbb{R} \cup \{+\infty\}$$

[1]The equivalence between the two definitions is a consequence of the following classical result : if $|x_0 - p/q| \leq 1/2q^2$ with $(p, q) = 1$, then p/q is a convergent of x_0.

defined by

$$d_f^p(H) = \dim\,(E_H^p),$$

where dim *denotes the Hausdorff dimension, and using the convention*

$$\dim(\emptyset) = -\infty.$$

In contradistinction with the Hölder case, few p-spectrums have been determined: Let us mention the characteristic functions of some fractal sets [21] and random wavelet series [20]; generic results (in the Baire and prevalence settings) for functions in a given Sobolev and Besov space were obtained by A. Fraysse [13]; recently, 2-exponents of trigonometric series which are not locally bounded and are related with the Riemann series were obtained by S. Seuret and A. Ubis [32].

Corollary 1. *The* 1*-spectrum of* B *is*

$$d_B^1(H) = \begin{cases} 2H & \text{if } H \in [0, 1/2] \\ -\infty & \text{else.} \end{cases} \tag{7}$$

Remark: We will actually prove a slightly more precise theorem, which states that the multifractal spectrum of B *restricted to any interval* $[a, b]$ of positive length is also given by (7). Following [2], the Brjuno function is called an *homogenous multifractal function*.

Theorem 1 and Corollary 1 are proved in Section 2. The computation of the 1-exponent everywhere is sharpened in Section 3 where the exact modulus of continuity of B at *badly approximable numbers* is determined. Results concerning other notions of pointwise regularity are grouped in Section 4. Finally, we mention related open problems in Section 5.

Acknowledgement: The authors wish to thank Yves Meyer for many remarks on a first draft of this text.

2. Determination of the 1-exponent of B

The fact that $B \in BMO$ implies a uniform lower bound on the 1-exponent. Indeed it follows from the John-Nirenberg inequality (and also from Proposition 3 of [1]) that

$$\forall x, y: \quad |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \qquad \Big| \int_x^y B(t)\, dt \Big| \leq C|x-y| \log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right), \tag{8}$$

see e.g. Chap. IV Sec. 1.3 of [34], so that, for $h < 1/2$,

$$\forall D, \qquad \frac{1}{2h} \int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} |B(x) - D| dx \leq C \log(1/h)$$

and finally,

$$\forall x_0, \qquad h_B^1(x_0) \geq 0. \tag{9}$$

Following [1], it will be convenient to define a function $\tilde{B}$ at rationals in the following way: If $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then the continued fraction expansion (1) of x_0 stops at a rank N, and we define

$$\tilde{B}(x_0) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} |p_{n-1} - q_{n-1}x_0| \log\left(\frac{p_{n-1} - x_0\, q_{n-1}}{q_n x_0 - p_n}\right).$$

For instance, for $N = 1$, we have $\tilde{B}(1/k) = \log k$.

The regularity of B at rational points is a consequence of the following estimate of Balazard and Martin (Proposition 12 of [1]): Let $r = p/q$ with $p \wedge q = 1$;

$$\text{if } |h| < \frac{2}{3q^2}, \qquad \frac{1}{h}\int_r^{r+h} B(x)dx = \frac{\log(e/q^2|h|)}{q} + \tilde{B}(r) + \mathcal{O}\left(qh\log\left(\frac{1}{q^2|h|}\right)\right) \tag{10}$$

where the $\mathcal{O}$ is uniform in q and h. In particular, it follows that, if $x_0 = p/q$ is rational, then $\forall D$, for h small enough,

$$\int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} |B(x) - D|dx \geq \frac{h}{2q}\log(1/h), \tag{11}$$

so that, at rational points $h_B^1(x_0) = 0$.

More precisely, by (8), for C large enough, the function $Ch\log(1/h)$ is a uniform 1-modulus of continuity of B (which will be defined further at Definition 4); and (up to the multiplicative constant) this is optimal, because it follows from (8) and (11) that $h\log(1/h)$ is the order of magnitude of the left hand side of (11).

The regularity of B at Cremer numbers (i.e. at irrationals that are not Brjuno numbers) follows from the fact that these numbers are not Lebesgue points, see Proposition 14 of [1]. Therefore, it follows from (5) that $h_B^1(x_0) \leq 0$, and using (9), we obtain that $h_B^1(x_0) = 0$.

Thus, from now on, we can assume that x_0 is a Brjuno number and therefore that $B(x_0)$ is well defined and finite, both in the pointwise and in the Lebesgue sense (and these values coincide).

2.1. **Global and pointwise irregularity of the Brjuno function.** The idea for proving the irregularity of B at Brjuno numbers is to reinterpret (10) as implying that some of its wavelet coefficients are large in the neighbourhood of the point considered, so that B is irregular at those points. We will need a variant of the classical wavelet criterium

(such as in [15]); since it might prove useful in other settings, we state it for multivariate functions.

We assume in the following that ψ is a bounded, compactly supported function defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$ and satisfying

$$\sup_{x\in\mathbb{R}^d} |\psi(x)| \leq 1 \qquad \text{and} \qquad \int_{\mathbb{R}^d} \psi(x)dx = 0; \tag{12}$$

such a function ψ will be called an *admissible wavelet* (note that we do not make any regularity assumption for ψ). For any $a > 0$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and ω isometry of $\mathbb{R}^d$, we define

$$\psi_{a,b,\omega}(x) = \psi\left(\frac{\omega(x-b)}{a}\right).$$

If $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$, the continuous wavelet transform of f is

$$C_f(a,b,\omega) = \frac{1}{a^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d} f(x)\psi_{a,b,\omega}(x)dx.$$

In order to obtain sharp results, we also need to extend the notion of T^p_α regularity to more general moduli of continuity than the function $\rho \to \rho^\alpha$. We start by defining the class of functions that will be the possible candidates to be moduli of continuity: A function $\theta : \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+$ satisfies the hypothesis $\mathcal{H}$ if

$$(\mathcal{H}) \quad \begin{cases} \theta(0) = 0, \\ \theta \text{ is continuous and non-decreasing in a neighborhood of } 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 4. *Let θ be a function satisfying $\mathcal{H}$. Let $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; θ is a p-modulus of continuity of f at x_0 if there exists a polynomial P such that, for ρ small enough,*

$$\left(\int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)} |f(x) - P(x-x_0)|^p dx\right)^{1/p} \leq \theta(\rho). \tag{13}$$

Note that T^p_α regularity corresponds to the choice $\theta(\rho) = C\rho^{\alpha+d/p}$. If π_d denotes the volume of the unit ball in dimension d, we define

$$K_{d,p} = (\pi_d)^{1-1/p}.$$

Lemma 1. *Let ψ be an admissible wavelet, $p \in [1,+\infty]$ and $f \in L^p_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$; let θ be a p-modulus of continuity of f at x_0 satisfying*

$$\exists C > 0,\ \forall \rho \leq 1, \qquad \theta(\rho) \geq C\rho^{1+d/p}.$$

Then

$$supp\,(\psi_{a,b,\omega}) \subset \mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho) \implies |C_f(a,b,\omega)| \leq K_{d,p}\,\theta(\rho)\frac{\rho^{d-d/p}}{a^d}. \tag{14}$$

This result will be used for $p = 1$ in order to prove the pointwise irregularity of the Brjuno function.

Proof. The growth condition on θ implies that we can restrict to polynomials P of degree 0, which we denote by a constant D.

Since ψ has a vanishing first moment,

$$C_f(a,b,\omega) = \frac{1}{a^d}\int_{\mathbb{R}^d}(f(x)-D)\psi_{a,b,\omega}(x)dx.$$

The condition $supp\,(\psi_{a,b,\omega}) \subset \mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)$ implies that we can restrict the integral on $\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)$ and, using (12), we get

$$\begin{aligned}|C_f(a,b,\omega)| &\leq \frac{1}{a^d}\int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)}|f(x)-D|dx \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a^d}\left(\int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)}|f(x)-D|^p dx\right)^{1/p}\left(\int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho)}dx\right)^{1-1/p} \\ &\leq K_{d,p}\,\frac{\theta(\rho)}{a^d}\rho^{d-d/p}.\end{aligned}$$

□

We now apply this result to the one-variable function B, so we drop the dependency in ω in the continuous wavelet transform, writing $C_f(a,b)$ instead of $C_f(a,b,\omega)$. Applying (10) to h and $h/2$, we obtain that, if $|h| < 2/3q^2$, then

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{h}\int_r^{r+h}B(x)dx - \frac{2}{h}\int_r^{r+h/2}B(x)dx = \\ = \frac{\log(e/q^2|h|)}{q} - \frac{\log(2e/q^2|h|)}{q} + \mathcal{O}\left(qh\log\left(\frac{1}{q^2|h|}\right)\right),\end{aligned} \tag{15}$$

which can be rewritten

$$\frac{1}{h}\int B(x)H\left(\frac{x-r}{h}\right)dx = \frac{\log 2}{q} + \mathcal{O}\left(qh\log\left(\frac{1}{q^2|h|}\right)\right),$$

where $H = 1_{[0,1/2]} - 1_{[1/2,1]}$ is the Haar wavelet. Hence the following result holds.

Lemma 2. *Let $r = \frac{p}{q}$ with $p \wedge q = 1$. If $|h| < 2/3q^2$, then*

$$\left|C_B\left(h,\frac{p}{q}\right) - \frac{\log 2}{q}\right| \leq \tilde{C}qh\log\left(\frac{1}{q^2|h|}\right), \tag{16}$$

where the wavelet used is the Haar wavelet and the constant $\tilde{C}$ is independent of q and h.

We now introduce a notion of uniform irregularity associated with moduli of continuity for $p = 1$.

Definition 5. *Let θ be a function satisfying $\mathcal{H}$. A function $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R}^d)$ is uniformly θ-irregular if*

$$\forall x_0,\ \forall P,\ \exists \rho_n \to 0 : \quad \int_{\mathbf{B}(x_0,\rho_n)} |f(x) - P(x - x_0)|\, dx \geq \theta(\rho_n).$$

Proposition 1. *Let $\theta(\rho) = \frac{1}{16}\rho^{3/2}$. Then B is uniformly θ-irregular, and this result is optimal (i.e. $\theta(\rho)$ cannot be replaced by a $o(\rho^{3/2})$).*

The optimality of Proposition 1 will be proved in Section 3 by considering the case of badly approximable numbers.

Proof. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. First note that, if $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then the result follows from (11). If $x_0 \notin \mathbb{Q}$, we apply (16) to the sequence (r_n) of convergents of x_0. Writing $r_n = p_n/q_n$, we pick

$$h_n = \frac{\varepsilon}{q_n^2},$$

where ε is such that $\varepsilon \leq 1/2$ and $\tilde{C}\varepsilon \log(1/\varepsilon) \leq 1/4$ (where $\tilde{C}$ is the constant in Lemma 2). It follows that

$$C_B(h_n, r_n) \geq \frac{1}{4q_n}.$$

We now apply Lemma 1 with

$$\rho_n = \left| x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| + h_n;$$

if θ is a 1-modulus of continuity at x_0, then

$$|C_B(h_n, r_n)| \leq \frac{\theta(\rho_n)}{h_n},$$

which implies that

$$\frac{1}{4q_n} \leq \frac{\theta(\rho_n)}{h_n}.$$

Using that $\rho_n \leq 2/q_n^2$ and θ is increasing, if follows that

$$\frac{1}{4q_n^3} \leq \theta(\rho_n) \leq \theta(2/q_n^2).$$

But

$$\theta(2/q_n^2) = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{16q_n^3}$$

hence a contradiction. □

Proposition 1 implies that the 1-exponent satisfies

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad h_B^1(x) \leq \frac{1}{2};$$

thus we can assume in the following that the polynomial in (13) boils down to a constant which has to be $B(x_0)$ as x_0 is a Brjuno number (let us recall that Brjuno numbers are Lebesgue points).

Let us now check that the same argument as in the proof of Proposition 1 yields an irregularity result at points x_0 for which $\tau(x_0) > 2$. Let us recall that an irrational point x_0 is τ-well approximable if

$$\left| x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_n^\tau},$$

for infinitely many ns.

Lemma 3. *Let $\tau > 2$. If x_0 is τ-well approximable, then*

$$h_B^1(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{\tau}. \tag{17}$$

Proof. Let us assume that $\theta(\rho) = \rho^{1+1/\tau}$ is a modulus of continuity of B at x_0. We pick $h_n = 1/q_n^\tau$. As above $C(r_n, 1/q_n^\tau) \sim \log(2)/q_n$ when $n \to +\infty$. We apply Lemma 1 with $a = h_n$, $b = r_n$ and $\rho_n = |x_0 - r_n| + \frac{1}{q_n^\tau}$ so that $\rho_n \leq 1/(2q_n^\tau)$. We get

$$\frac{1}{2q_n} \leq \frac{1}{2\sqrt{2}q_n},$$

hence a contradiction. □

Recall that an irrational point x_0 is Diophantine if $\tau(x_0) < \infty$; Liouville numbers are the irrational numbers that are not Diophantine, i.e. that are τ-well approximable for any τ. It follows from (17) that *the 1-exponent of the Brjuno function vanishes at Liouville numbers.*

Moreover if x_0 is such that $\tau(x_0) > 2$, then for all $\varepsilon > 0$, x_0 is $(\tau(x_0) - \varepsilon)$-well approximable, and Lemma 3 gives

$$h_B^1(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{\tau(x_0)}. \tag{18}$$

2.2. **Pointwise regularity of the Brjuno function.** We now prove regularity for B at Diophantine numbers of $X = (0;1) \setminus \mathbb{Q}$.

We begin by recalling some basic points concerning the continued fraction expansion of irrationnal numbers. First, recall that the Gauss

map A: $X \to X$ is defined by

$$A(x) = \frac{1}{x} - \left[\frac{1}{x}\right] = \left\{\frac{1}{x}\right\}$$

(where $\{x\}$ denotes the fractional part of x). For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by A^n the n-th iterate of A. For $x \in X$ and $j \geq 0$, $a_j(x) = \lfloor A^j(x) \rfloor$.

In the sequel, we will denote by $\mathfrak{c}[b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ the open sub-interval of [0;1] with endpoints $[0; b_1, \ldots, b_k]$ and $[0; b_1, \ldots, b_{k-1}, b_k + 1]$. These intervals are called *cylinders of order* k. Note that in a cylinder of order k, A^k is continuous, and for all $j \leq k$ the functions a_j, p_j and q_j are constant. For $x \in X$, let

$$\beta_n(x) = |x q_n(x) - p_n(x)|$$

and

$$\gamma_n(x) = \beta_{n-1}(x) \log\left(\frac{1}{A^n(x)}\right)$$

so that

$$B(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \gamma_n(x).$$

We have

$$\beta_n(x) = \frac{1}{q_{k+1}(x) + A^{k+1}(x) q_k(x)},$$

from which we get the order of magnitude of β_n :

$$\frac{1}{2q_{n+1}(x)} \leq \beta_n(x) \leq \frac{1}{q_{n+1}(x)}. \tag{19}$$

It follows that

$$q_{n+1}(x) \leq q_n(x)^{\tau_n(x)-1}. \tag{20}$$

Let us also recall (see Proposition 1 of [1]) that for $k \geq 1$,

$$\frac{\log(q_{k+1}(x))}{q_k(x)} - \frac{\log(2q_k(x))}{q_k(x)} \leq \gamma_k(x) \leq \frac{\log(q_{k+1}(x))}{q_k(x)}. \tag{21}$$

Let $x_0 \in X$. In the sequel, a_k, p_k, q_k denote the value at x_0 of the functions a_k, p_k, q_k. We need to estimate the integrals

$$\int_I \gamma_k(t)\, dt,$$

where

$$I =]x_0 - h/2; x_0 + h/2[\quad \text{with} \quad h > 0. \tag{22}$$

These estimations will depend on an integer K which is defined as follows: K $(= K(I))$ is the largest integer such that

$$I \subseteq \mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_K]. \tag{23}$$

We also denote by $\{F_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ the sequence of Fibonacci numbers (i.e. $F_0 = F_1 = 1$, $F_{n+2} = F_{n+1} + F_n$).

Lemma 4. *Let $x_0 \in X$, let h be such that $0 < h < e^{-2}$ with $x_0 - h/2$ and $x_0 + h/2$ irrational. Let I be the interval be given by (22) and K the integer defined by* (23)*. There exists $C > 0$ such that for $K \geq 1$,*

$$\forall k < K, \quad \int_I \big|\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_0)\big| dx \leq C q_{k+1} h^2, \tag{24}$$

$$\int_I \big|\gamma_K(x) - \gamma_K(x_0)\big| dx \leq C q_{K+1} h^2 \log(q_{K+1}\big), \tag{25}$$

$$\forall k > K, \quad \int_I \gamma_k(x) dx \leq C \frac{h}{F_{k-K}} \Big(\frac{\log(1/h)}{q_{K+1}} + h^{1/2} \Big). \tag{26}$$

Note that, in this lemma and in the following, the values of constants C may change from one line to the next, but are universal (i.e. independent of x_0, h and k).

Proof. The bound (24) is exactly Proposition 7 of [1]. Propositions 9 and 10 of the same paper give bounds for the integrals $\int_I \gamma_k(x)dx$ for $k \geq K$, however (25) and (26) cannot be directly derived from them; to reach the expected results, we need to extract some estimations from the proofs of these two propositions. Hence it is convenient to recall and to use the same notations as in [1]. We will sometimes skip some details which can be found in [1].

The endpoints of the interval $\mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_K]$ are

$$\frac{p_K}{q_K} \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{p_K + p_{K-1}}{q_K + q_{K-1}}.$$

Up to some subset of $\mathbb{Q}$, $\mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_K]$ is the union of the cylinders $\mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_K, n]$ over $n \geq 1$. Any element x of $\mathfrak{c}$ has a unique representation

$$x = \frac{s p_K + p_{K-1}}{s q_K + q_{K-1}} \quad \text{with} \quad s \in]1; +\infty[.$$

We set

$$x_0 + (-1)^K h/2 = \frac{u p_K + p_{K-1}}{u q_K + q_{K-1}} \text{ and } x_0 + (-1)^{K-1} h/2 = \frac{v p_K + p_{K-1}}{v q_K + q_{K-1}},$$

and

$$m = [u] \text{ and } n = [v], \tag{27}$$

so that $1 \leq m \leq a_{K+1} \leq n$. By maximality of K, we have $n > m$. Observe that if $n > m + 1$, then $v - u \geq 1$ and $n - m \leq v - u + 1 \leq 2(v - u)$. We have (inequality (40) of [1])

$$h \geq \frac{v - u}{6 q_K^2 m n}. \tag{28}$$

Let us now prove the estimation (25). We distinguish two cases. We first suppose $n \geq 2m+1$. We have then $v-u \geq (n-m)/2$ and we obtain from (28) that

$$h \geq \frac{1}{24mq_K^2} \geq \frac{1}{24a_{K+1}q_K^2} \geq \frac{1}{24q_Kq_{K+1}}. \tag{29}$$

Proposition 9 of [1] and the inequality (21) give

$$\int_I \gamma_K(x) \leq Ch\frac{\log(q_{K+1})}{q_K}. \tag{30}$$

From this we deduce

$$\begin{aligned}\int_I \big|\gamma_K(x)-\gamma_K(x_0)\big|dx &\leq \int_I \gamma_K(x)dx + h\gamma_K(x_0)\\ &\leq C\frac{h}{q_K}\log(q_{K+1}) \leq Ch^2q_{K+1}\log(q_{K+1}),\end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality comes from (29).

Now suppose that $m \leq n \leq 2m$. For $x \in I$, the derivative of γ_K satisfies

$$\gamma_K'(x) = (-1)^{K-1}\Big(q_{K-1}(x)\log(1/A^K(x)) + \beta_K(x)^{-2}\Big),$$

and consequently

$$|\gamma_K'(x)| \leq Cq_{K+1}(x).$$

For $x \in I$, there exists $m \leq \ell \leq n$ such that $x \in \mathfrak{c}[a_1,\dots,a_K,\ell]$ which yields

$$q_{K+1}(x) = \ell q_K + q_{K-1} \leq nq_K + q_{K-1} \leq 2a_{K+1}q_K + q_{K-1} \leq 2q_{K+1}.$$

The mean-value theorem then gives

$$\int_I \big|\gamma_K(x)-\gamma_K(x_0)\big|dx \leq Cq_{K+1}\int_I |x_0-x|dx \leq Cq_{K+1}h^2,$$

and the case $k=K$ is settled.

It remains to consider the case $k > K$. Let

$$E = n-m+1. \tag{31}$$

If $E=2$, the inequality (43) of [1] gives

$$\int_I \gamma_k(x)dx \leq \frac{2e}{q_{K+1}F_{k-K}}h\log(1/h). \tag{32}$$

If $E \geq 3$, then $u-v \geq 1$ and $v-u \geq (n-m)/2$, so that

$$h \geq \frac{n-m}{12q_K^2mn}.$$

Then we use the following inequality which is obtained p. 213 of [1] :

$$\int_I \gamma_k(x)dx \leq 6\frac{n-m}{q_K^3 F_{k-K} m^2 n}. \tag{33}$$

This yields

$$\int_I \gamma_k(x)dx \leq \frac{(12h)^{3/2}}{F_{k-K}}\Big(\frac{n}{(n-m)m}\Big)^{1/2}. \tag{34}$$

As $\frac{n}{(n-m)m} \leq 1$ for $1 \leq m \leq n$, we get the expected bound. □

We are now able to prove the following result.

Proposition 2. *Let x_0 be a Diophantine number, and $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists $h_0 = h_0(x_0, \varepsilon) > 0$ such that, if $0 < h < h_0$, then*

$$\frac{1}{h}\int_{x_0-h/2}^{x_0+h/2} |B(x_0) - B(x)|dx \leq C' h^{1/(\tau(x_0)+\varepsilon)} \log(1/h), \tag{35}$$

where the constant C' may depend on x_0.

From (35) we deduce that, if x_0 is Diophantine, then for every ε such that $0 < \varepsilon < 1/2$, $h_B^1(x_0) \geq 1/(\tau(x_0) + \varepsilon)$, and consequently $h_B^1(x_0) \geq \frac{1}{\tau(x_0)}$ (as $\tau(x_0) \geq 2$)) which ends the proof of Theorem 1. We now prove Proposition 2.

Proof. As the set of irrational numbers is dense in $\mathbb{R}$, we may assume that $x_0 \pm h/2$ are both irrational. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. There exists an integer $K_0 = K_0(x_0, \varepsilon)$ such that

$$\forall K \geq K_0, \qquad \tau_K(x_0) \leq \tau(x_0) + \varepsilon. \tag{36}$$

In what follows, we note $\tau_k(x_0) = \tau_k$ and $\tau(x_0) = \tau$. Following [1], we denote by $\delta_k = \delta_k(x_0)$ the distance from x_0 to the endpoints of $\mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_k]$, that is

$$\delta_k = \min\Big(\Big|x_0 - \frac{p_k}{q_k}\Big|, \Big|x_0 - \frac{p_k + p_{k-1}}{q_k + q_{k-1}}\Big|\Big).$$

Proposition 4 of [1] gives

$$\delta_k \leq \frac{1}{q_k q_{k+1}} \quad \text{and} \quad \delta_k \geq \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2q_{k+1}q_{k+2}} & \text{if } a_{k+1} = 1, \\ \frac{1}{2q_k q_{k+1}} & \text{if } a_{k+1} \geq 2. \end{cases} \tag{37}$$

Let $K = K(x_0, h)$ be the largest integer such that $I =]x_0 - h/2; x_0 + h/2[$ is included in $\mathfrak{c}[a_1, \ldots, a_K]$. We have

$$\frac{1}{2q_{K+2}q_{K+3}} \leq \delta_{K+1} < h/2$$

so that $K \to +\infty$ when $h \to 0$. Let $0 < h_0 < e^{-2}$ be such that for all $0 < h < h_0$, $K \geq \max(K_0, 1)$ and let us evaluate for $h < h_0$,

$$\int_I |B(x_0) - B(x)|dx \leq \sum_{k \leq K} \int_I |\gamma_k(x_0) - \gamma_k(x)|dx + h \sum_{k>K} \gamma_k(x_0) + \sum_{k>K} \int_I \gamma_k(x)dx.$$

Using (24) and (25), and since the sequence $\{q_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ grows (at least) exponentially, it follows that

$$\sum_{k \leq K} \int_I |\gamma_k(x_0) - \gamma_k(x)|dx \leq Ch^2\Big(\sum_{k<K} q_{k+1} + q_{K+1}\log(q_{K+1})\Big) \leq Ch^2 q_{K+1}\log(q_{K+1}).$$

Now, using (26), we get

$$\sum_{k>K} \int_I \gamma_k(x)dx \leq Ch\Big(\frac{\log(1/h)}{q_{K+1}} + h^{1/2}\Big) \sum_{k>K} \frac{1}{F_{k-K}} \leq Ch\Big(\frac{\log(1/h)}{q_{K+1}} + h^{1/2}\Big).$$

(because the sequence $\{F_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ of Fibonacci numbers grows exponentially). Since x_0 is diophantine, $\tau(x_0) < \infty$ and as a consequence the sequence $(\tau_k)_{k\geq 0}$ is bounded. Using (20) and (21), we get for $k > K$,

$$|\gamma_k(x_0)| \leq \frac{\log(q_{k+1})}{q_k} \leq \frac{\log(q_k^{\tau_k - 1})}{q_k} \leq C' \frac{\log(q_k)}{q_k},$$

where C' depends on x_0. (In the following the values of constants C' may change from one line to the next, and depend on nothing but x_0.) We deduce from this and again from the exponential growth of $\{q_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ that

$$\sum_{k>K} \gamma_k(x_0) \leq C' \frac{\log(q_{K+1})}{q_{K+1}}.$$

Collecting these estimates we get

$$\int_I |B(x_0) - B(x)|dx \leq C'h\Big(hq_{K+1}\log(q_{K+1}) + \frac{\log(1/h)}{q_{K+1}} + h^{1/2}\Big). \quad (38)$$

Again according to (20) we have

$$q_{K+1} \leq q_K^{\tau_K - 1} = \Big|x - \frac{p_K}{q_K}\Big|^{(1-\tau_K)/\tau_K} \leq h^{-1+1/\tau_K},$$

from which we deduce

$$\log(q_{K+1}) \leq C' \log(1/h).$$

If $a_{K+2} \geq 2$, we have, according to (19) and (37),

$$\frac{1}{q_{K+1}^{\tau_{K+1}}} = \left| x - \frac{p_{K+1}}{q_{K+1}} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q_{K+1}q_{K+2}} \leq 2\delta_{K+1} < h,$$

and consequently $q_{K+1}^{-1} \leq h^{1/\tau_{K+1}}$. In the same way, if $a_{K+2} = 1$ we get

$$\frac{1}{q_{K+1}} \leq \frac{2}{q_{K+1} + q_K} = \frac{2}{q_{K+2}} \leq 2h^{1/\tau_{K+2}}.$$

Inserting these estimations in (38), we finally get

$$\int_I |B(x_0) - B(x)|dx \leq C'h\Big(h^{1/\tau_K} + h^{1/\tau_{K+1}} + h^{1/\tau_{K+2}}\Big) \log(1/h),$$

(note that, since $\tau_K \geq 2$, the term $h^{1/2}$ in (38) is not needed) and the conclusion follows from (36). □

We now turn to the proof of Corollary 1. It follows from a precised version of Jarnik Theorem (which, initially, yields the Hausdorff dimensions of the sets of points with a given irrationality exponent). In order to state it, we need to recall the following notion of modified Hausdorff measure.

Definition 6. *Let $A \subset \mathbb{R}$. If $\varepsilon > 0$ and $\delta \in [0,1]$, we denote*

$$M_\varepsilon^{\delta,\gamma} = \inf_R \left(\sum_i |A_i|^\delta |\log(|A_i|)|^\gamma \right),$$

where R is an ε-covering of A, i.e. a covering of A by bounded sets $\{A_i\}_{i\in\mathbb{N}}$ of diameters $|A_i| \leq \varepsilon$ (the infimum is therefore taken on all ε-coverings). For any $\delta \in [0,1]$ and $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}$, the (δ,γ)-dimensional outer Hausdorff measure of A is

$$mes^{\delta,\gamma}(A) = \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0} M_\varepsilon^{\delta,\gamma}.$$

Proposition 3. *Let $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$ such that $a < b$ and*

$$E_\tau = \left\{ x \in [a,b] : \left| x - \frac{p}{q} \right| \leq \frac{1}{q^\tau} \text{ for infinitely many couples } (p,q) \right\};$$

then

$$\dim(E_\tau) = 2/\tau, \tag{39}$$

$$mes^{2/\tau,2}(E_\tau) > 0. \tag{40}$$

Note that the upper bound in (39) follows immediately using the natural covering by the intervals $[\frac{p}{q} - \frac{1}{q^\tau}, \frac{p}{q} + \frac{1}{q^\tau}]$, and (40) implies the lower bound, so that the only result that requires a proof is (40). A direct and elementary way in order to prove (40) is to follow step by step the proof of Theorems 10.3 and 10.4 of [12], and check that it actually yields not only a lower bound for the dimension of E_τ, but the more precise result given by (40). A more conceptual proof consists in noticing that the sets E_τ are *limsup sets*, and that, by Dirichlet's theorem, $E_2 = \mathbb{R}$; so that Proposition 3 actually is a particular case of the standard ubiquity techniques, see [4] and references therein, or Theorem 2 of [17].

Let us now check how Corollary 1 follows from Theorem 1 and Proposition 3. Let $a < b$ be given and let

$$F_t = \{x \in [a, b] : \ \tau(x) = t\}.$$

We need to prove that

$$\forall t \in [2, +\infty], \qquad \dim(F_t) = \frac{2}{t}. \tag{41}$$

Clearly,

$$F_t = \bigcap_{\tau<t} E_\tau - \bigcup_{\tau>t} E_\tau.$$

It follows that $\forall \tau < t$, $F_t \subset E_\tau$, and Proposition 3 implies that $\dim(F_t) \leq 2/t$. In order to obtain the lower bound, we will prove that $mes^{2/t,2}(F_t) > 0$. Indeed, F_t contains the set

$$G_t = \ E_t - \bigcup_{\tau>t} E_\tau;$$

since the sequence E_τ is decreasing, the union can be rewritten as a countable union of sets, which, by (39), all have a vanishing $mes^{2/t,2}$ Hausdorff measure, so that $mes^{2/t,2}(G_t) = mes^{2/t,2}(E_t) > 0$.

3. Badly approximable numbers

Theorem 1 can be interpreted as stating that the slower the sequence q_n increases, the smoother B is at x_0. We will now back this heuristic by proving that indeed the points for which the sequence q_n grows as slowly as possible are the smoothest points of B.

An irrational number x_0 is said to be *badly approximable* if there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\left|x_0 - \frac{p}{q}\right| \geq \frac{C}{q^2}, \tag{42}$$

for any rational p/q. It is well-known that an irrational number x_0 is badly approximable if and only if the sequence of $\{a_k\}_{k\geq 0}$ is bounded, and it follows that $\tau(x_0) = 2$; thus we already know that

$$h_B^1(x_0) = \frac{1}{2}.$$

We now sharpen this result. Recall that the definition of the modulus of continuity is given by (13).

Proposition 4. *A point $x_0 \in (0,1)$ is badly approximable if and only if there exists $C > 0$ such that $\theta(\rho) = C\rho^{3/2}$ is a modulus of continuity of B at x_0.*

A consequence of this result is the optimality of Proposition 1 (up to the multiplicative constant): Badly approximable numbers have the best possible modulus of continuity.

Proof. First note that a function which is a $o(\rho^{3/2})$ cannot be a modulus of continuity at badly approximable numbers, as a consequence of Proposition 1. We now prove that, for C large enough, $C\rho^{3/2}$ is a modulus of continuity at such a number. We will use the same notations (I, K, E, δ_K) as in the proofs of Lemma 4 and Proposition 2. Let us prove that for some $C > 0$, and h sufficiently small we have

$$\int_{x_0-h}^{x_0+h} \big|B(x) - B(x_0)\big| dx \leq Ch^{3/2}. \tag{43}$$

We have

$$h \leq \left|x_0 - \frac{p_K}{q_K}\right| \leq \frac{1}{q_K^2}, \tag{44}$$

and also, as x_0 is badly approximable, there exists $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{h}{2} > \delta_{K+1} \geq \frac{1}{2q_{K+2}q_{K+3}} \geq \frac{C}{q_K^2}. \tag{45}$$

According to (24), we have

$$\sum_{k<K} \int_I |\gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_0)| dx \leq Ch^2 q_K \leq Ch^{3/2}, \tag{46}$$

where the last inequality comes from (44).

Let $k > K$. The proof of Proposition 10 of [1] p. 213 contains the following inequality for $E \geq 3$, which actually remains true for $E \geq 2$:

$$\int_I \gamma_k(t) dt \leq \frac{2}{q_K^3 F_{k-K}} \sum_{m\leq \ell \leq n} \frac{1}{\ell^3}.$$

That directly implies

$$\int_I \gamma_k(t)dt \leq \frac{C}{q_K^3 F_{k-K}} \leq \frac{Ch^{3/2}}{F_{k-K}}$$

where the last inequality comes from (45). Hence we obtain

$$\sum_{k>K} \int_I \gamma_k(x)dx \leq Ch^{3/2}. \tag{47}$$

As for all $k \geq 1$,

$$1/A^k(x_0) = a_{k+1}(x_0) + A^{k+1}(x_0),$$

there is some $C > 0$ such that for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\log(1/A^k(x_0)) \leq C$. From this and from the exponential growth of the sequence $(q_k)_{k\geq 0}$, we infer that

$$h \sum_{k\geq K} \gamma_k(x_0) \leq Ch \sum_{k\geq K} \frac{1}{q_k} \leq C\frac{h}{q_K} \leq Ch^{3/2}. \tag{48}$$

It remains to treat $\int_I \gamma_K(x)dx$. For this we use the inequality (39) of [1] (p. 210) :

$$\int_I \gamma_K(x)dx \leq \frac{1}{q_K^3} \int_{A^K(I)} \log(1/u)du.$$

As $u \mapsto \log(1/u)$ decreases on $]0;1]$, this gives

$$\int_I \gamma_K(x)dx \leq \frac{1}{q_K^3} \int_0^\Delta \log(1/u)du = \frac{1}{q_K^3}\big(\Delta \log(1/\Delta) + \Delta\big), \tag{49}$$

with the notation

$$\Delta = \big|A^K(x - h/2) - A^K(x + h/2)\big|.$$

Using the mean value theorem we get

$$\Delta = h\big|(A^K)'(c)\big|,$$

with $c \in I$. As $(A^K)' = \beta_{K-1}^{-2}$, we infer from (19), (45) and (44) that there exists $C' > 0$ such that

$$C' \leq hq_K^2 \leq \Delta \leq 4hq_K^2 \leq 1.$$

Inserting these estimates in (49), we get

$$\int_I \gamma_K(x)dx \leq \frac{C}{q_K^3} \leq Ch^{3/2}. \tag{50}$$

As we have

$$\int_I |B(x_0) - B(x)|dx \leq \sum_{k<K} \int_I |\gamma_k(x_0) - \gamma_k(x)|dx + h \sum_{k \geq K} \gamma_k(x_0) + \int_I \gamma_K(x)dx + \sum_{k>K} \int_I \gamma_k(x)dx,$$

the estimations (46), (47), (48) and (50) enable us to obtain (43).

We now prove that badly approximable points are the only one for which the modulus of continuity is equivalent to $\rho^{3/2}$. Let

$$h_n = \left| x_0 - \frac{p_n}{q_n} \right|;$$

x_0 is not badly approximable if and only if there exists a subsequence $n(m)$ such that

$$h_{n(m)} = o\left(\frac{1}{(q_{n(m)})^2} \right). \tag{51}$$

The proof then follows the one of Proposition 1: On one hand, (16) implies that

$$C_B\left(h_{n(m)}, \frac{p_{n(m)}}{q_{n(m)}} \right) \geq \frac{1}{4q_{n(m)}};$$

on other hand, applying Lemma 1 with $\rho_{n(m)} = 2h_{n(m)}$, we obtain that, if $C\rho^{3/2}$ is a modulus of continuity at x_0, then

$$\frac{1}{4q_{n(m)}} \leq \frac{C(\rho_{n(m)})^{3/2}}{h_{n(m)}} \leq C2^{3/2}(h_{n(m)})^{1/2}$$

which contradicts (51). □

4. Addditional pointwise regularity results

4.1. **Local dimension of the measure $B(x)dx$.** We start by recalling the definition of the local dimension of measures.

Definition 7. *Let μ be a positive Radon measure defined on $\mathbb{R}^d$. Let x_0 belong to the support of μ. The (lower) local dimension of μ at x is*

$$\underline{\dim}_{loc}(\mu, x) = \liminf_{\rho \to 0^+} \frac{\log \mu(\mathbf{B}(x, \rho))}{\log\ \rho}. \tag{52}$$

The local dimension is well defined for the Brjuno function; however, the following result shows that it does not allow to capture possible changes in its pointwise regularity.

Proposition 5. *The local dimension of the measure $d\mu(x) = B(x)dx$ is constant and given by*

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad \underline{\dim}_{loc}(\mu, x) = 1.$$

Proof. We first check that $\exists C > 0$ such that $\forall x \in \mathbb{R}$, $B(x) \geq C$. Indeed, note that there is no cancellation in the series (2); the first term of the expansion already yields that, outside a small neighbourhood of 1, it is the case; and the second term suffices to settle the case in such a neighborhood. It follows that $\forall x$, $\underline{\dim}_{loc}(\mu, x) \leq 1$.

On other hand, since $B \in BMO$, it follows immediately from (8) that $\forall x$, $\underline{\dim}_{loc}(\mu, x) \geq 1$. □

A drawback of using the local dimension is that, in contradistinction with the Hölder exponent, two measures μ and ν differing by a constant may have different exponents. Therefore this exponent often takes the value 1, because the definition does not include (as in the Hölder case) the substraction of an appropriate polynomial. This explains why the p-exponent, which allows for this substraction, is better fitted to measure variations of regularity of B.

4.2. **Hölder regularity of the primitive of B.** Our result for the 1-exponent strongly uses (15), which estimates increments of the primitive of B. Therefore, one can wonder if it can lead to a determination of the Hölder exponent of a primitive of B. We first recall the definition of the Hölder exponent.

Definition 8. *Let $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$ be a locally bounded function, $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\alpha \geq 0$. The function f belongs to $C^\alpha(x_0)$ if there exist $C > 0$ and a polynomial P of degree less than α such that, for ρ small enough,*

$$\sup_{|x-x_0|\leq\rho} \operatorname{ess} |f(x) - P(x - x_0)| \leq C\rho^\alpha. \tag{53}$$

The Hölder exponent of f at x_0 is

$$h_f(x_0) = \sup\{\alpha \geq 0 \mid f \in C^\alpha(x_0)\}.$$

A lower bound for the Hölder exponent of a primitive of B immediately holds as a consequence of the following classical result.

Lemma 5. *Let $f \in L^1_{loc}(\mathbb{R})$, and denote by F a primitive of f. Then*

$$\forall x_0 \in \mathbb{R}, \qquad h_F(x_0) \geq h^1_f(x_0) + 1. \tag{54}$$

Proof. We recall the proof for the sake of completeness. Suppose that $f \in T^1_\alpha(x_0)$, let P be the polynomial given by (4), and denote by Q the

primitive of P that vanishes at x_0. The primitive $F(x) = \int_{x_0}^{x} f(t)dt$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} |F(x) - Q(x)| &= \left| \int_{x_0}^{x} (f(t) - P(t))dt \right| \\ &\leq \int_{x_0}^{x} |f(t) - P(t)|\, dt \leq C|x - x_0|^{\alpha+1}, \end{aligned}$$

so that $F \in C^{\alpha+1}(x_0)$. □

Note that, in general, equality does not hold in (54), as shown by the functions $|x|^{\alpha} \sin(|x|^{-\beta})$ for $\alpha > -1$ and $\beta > 0$; we will now check that equality holds everywhere in the case of the Brjuno function. We denote by $\mathfrak{B}$ a primitive of the Brjuno function.

Proposition 6. *If $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, then $h_{\mathfrak{B}}(x_0) = 1$. Otherwise,*

$$h_{\mathfrak{B}}(x_0) = 1 + \frac{1}{\tau(x_0)}.$$

In order to prove this result, we will need an irregularity criterium for continuous functions which is based on finite differences. We note

$$\Delta_2 f(x, h) = f(x + h) - 2f\left(x + \frac{h}{2}\right) + f(x). \tag{55}$$

Lemma 6. *Let $f : \mathbb{R}^d \to \mathbb{R}$ be a continuous function, and let $\alpha < 2$ and $\gamma \geq 0$. Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^d$, and assume that there exist sequences $h_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$, $\rho_n > 0$ and $r_n \in \mathbb{R}^d$ such that*

$$r_n \in B(x_0, \rho_n), \quad \rho_n \to 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{\rho_n}{|\log \rho_n|^{\gamma}} \leq |h_n| \leq \rho_n.$$

$$\text{If} \quad \Delta_2 f(r_h, h_n) \geq (h_n)^{\alpha}, \qquad \text{then} \quad h_f(x_0) \leq \alpha. \tag{56}$$

Proof. First, note that, if f is continuous, then the sup ess in (53) can be replaced by a sup. Therefore, if $f \in C^{\beta}(x_0)$ for a $\beta < 2$, then there exists a polynomial P of degree at most 1 and $r > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in \mathbf{B}(x_0, r), \qquad f(x) = P(x - x_0) + O(|x - x_0|^{\beta}). \tag{57}$$

Using (57) for $x = r_n,\ r_n + h_n/2$ and $r_n + h_n$ in (55), we get

$$\Delta_2 f(r_h, h_n) = O(\rho_n^{\beta}) = O\big((h_n)^{\beta} |\log h_n|^{\beta\gamma}\big).$$

Therefore, if $\Delta_2 f(r_h, h_n) \geq (h_n)^{\alpha}$, then $\forall \beta > \alpha$, $f \notin C^{\beta}(x_0)$. □

Let us now prove Proposition 6. The case $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$ is a direct consequence of the asymptotic expansion (10). Now let x_0 be irrational. According to Lemma 5 and Theorem 1, we already know that $h_{\mathfrak{B}}(x_0) \geq 1 + 1/\tau(x_0)$. Note that (15) can be rewritten

$$\text{If} \quad |h| < \frac{2}{3q^2}, \text{ then} \qquad \frac{1}{h}\Delta_2\mathfrak{B}(r,h) = \frac{\log 2}{q} + \mathcal{O}\left(qh\log\left(\frac{1}{q^2|h|}\right)\right).$$

Let now $\tau \geq 2$ and assume that x_0 is τ-well approximable. We can assume, by extracting a subsequence if necessary, that for all $n \geq 1$, $\left|x_0 - p_n/q_n\right| \leq 1/q_n^\tau$, and we pick for r the sequence of convergents $r_n = p_n/q_n$, $\rho_n = 1/q_n^\tau$, and $h_n = 1/q_n^\tau(\log q_n)^2$. We obtain that

$$\Delta_2\mathfrak{B}(r_n,h_n) = \frac{\log 2}{\tau^{2/\tau}}\,(h_n)^{1+1/\tau}|\log(h_n)|^{2/\tau}\Big(1+o(1)\Big),$$

and Lemma 6 implies that $h_{\mathfrak{B}}(x_0) \leq 1 + 1/\tau$. This yields the expected conclusion.

4.3. **The p-exponent of B for $p > 1$.** Theorem 1 can be extended to p-exponents in the following way :

$$\forall p \geq 1, \quad \begin{cases} \text{if } x_0 \in \mathbb{Q} \text{ then} & h_B^p(x_0) = 0, \\ \\ \text{otherwise} & h_B^p(x_0) = \dfrac{1}{\tau(x_0)}. \end{cases} \tag{58}$$

In this section we just outline the proof of this result.

First note that from the John-Nirenberg inequality and the fact that $B \in BMO$ (or from the inequality (65)), we can deduce that there exists some $C > 0$ such that

$$\forall x, y : \quad |x-y| \leq \frac{1}{2}, \quad \Big|\int_x^y B(t)^p\,dt\Big|^{1/p} \leq C|x-y|^{1/p}\log\left(\frac{1}{|x-y|}\right), \tag{59}$$

so that

$$h_B^p(x_0) \geq 0.$$

On the other side, it follows from the Hölder inequality that

$$h_B^p(x_0) \leq h_B^1(x_0). \tag{60}$$

Hence it follows from Theorem 1 that if x_0 is a rational or a Liouville number, then $h_B^p(x_0) = 0$.

Now let us suppose that x_0 is diophantine. The results obtained in section 2.2 are based on the estimations (24), (30), (32) and (33) which are all directly taken from [1]. It is possible to extend these four estimations in the following way : let h be such that $0 < h < e^{-2}$ with $x_0 - h/2$ and $x_0 + h/2$ irrational, I be the interval $]x_0 - h/2; x_0 + h/2[$,

and K, m, n, E the integers defined by (23), (27) and (31). There exists $C > 0$ (not depending on p) such that for $K \geq 1$,

$$\forall k < K, \quad \left(\int_I \left| \gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_0) \right|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C q_{k+1} h^{1+1/p}, \tag{61}$$

$$\left(\int_I \gamma_K(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \, \frac{h^{1/p} \log(q_{K+1})}{q_K}, \tag{62}$$

$$\forall k > K, \quad \left(\int_I \gamma_k(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \, \frac{h^{1/p} \log(1/h)}{F_{k-K} q_{K+1}}, \text{ if } E = 2, \tag{63}$$

$$\left(\int_I \gamma_k(x)^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C \, \frac{(n-m)^{1/p}}{F_{k-K} q_K^{1+2/p} m^{1+1/p} n^{1/p}} \text{ if } E \geq 3. \tag{64}$$

To obtain these estimations, it is sufficient to follow the same arguments as in the proofs of Propositions 7, 9, 10 of [1], and to make small *ad-hoc* changes. Doing so, easy extensions of Proposition 2 and Lemma 2 of the same paper will be requested. As the method is exactly the same to get these, we give them without proofs :

- Let $I \subseteq [0;1]$ be an interval of length $h \leq e^{-p}$. We have

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}, \qquad \int_I \gamma_k(x)^p dx \leq e^p h \log^p(1/h). \tag{65}$$

- Let m, n be integers such that $1 \leq m < n$. We have

$$\sum_{m \leq \ell \leq n} \frac{1}{\ell^{p+2}} \leq 3 \frac{n-m}{m^{p+1} n}.$$

Starting from (61), (62), (63) and (64), and following the same arguments, it is possible to get an extension of Lemma 4 : under the same hypothesis there exists $C > 0$ such that for $p \geq 1$, $K \geq 1$,

$$\forall k < K, \quad \Big(\int_I \left| \gamma_k(x) - \gamma_k(x_0) \right|^p dx \Big)^{1/p} \leq C q_{k+1} h^{1+1/p},$$

$$\Big(\int_I \left| \gamma_K(x) - \gamma_K(x_0) \right|^p dx \Big)^{1/p} \leq C q_{K+1} h^{1+1/p} \log(q_{K+1}),$$

$$\forall k > K, \quad \Big(\int_I \gamma_k(x)^p dx \Big)^{1/p} \leq C \frac{h^{1/p}}{F_{k-K}} \Big(\frac{\log(1/h)}{q_{K+1}} + h^{1/2} \Big).$$

From these inequalities we can deduce an extension of Proposition 2 : if x_0 is a Diophantine number and $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists $h_0 = h_0(x_0, \varepsilon) > 0$

such that for $p \geq 1$, $0 < h < h_0$,

$$\left(\frac{1}{h} \int_{x_0 - h/2}^{x_0 + h/2} |B(x_0) - B(x)|^p dx \right)^{1/p} \leq C' h^{1/(\tau(x_0)+\varepsilon)} \log(1/h), \quad (66)$$

where the constant C' may depend on x_0. Again the proof is the same, we do not give details. From (66) we infer the lower-bound $h_B^p(x_0) \geq 1/\tau(x_0)$. Combined with (60) and Theorem 1, this yields $h_B^p(x_0) = 1/\tau(x_0)$.

5. Concluding remarks

The present study can be extended in several directions. Let us mention two of them.

First, B is one example of a family B_α (where alternative forms of the continued fraction expansion are used in (2)); these functions were introduced by J.-C. Yoccoz in [35], and further studied in [23]; a similar analysis as the one that we performed could be developed for the B_α.

Another direction is suggested by the fact that B can be interpreted as the imaginary part of a complex analytic function $\mathcal{B}$, see Section 1.3 of [24]; a remarkable property of the real part of $\mathcal{B}$ is that it is a bounded function which is continuous except at rationals, where it has a left and a right limit. This property is shared with some *Davenport series*, which are functions of the form $\sum a_n \omega(nx)$, where $\omega(x) = \{x\} - 1/2$. If $(a_n) \in l^1$, they display jumps located at rational numbers, thus often leading to a pointwise regularity exponent related with Diophantine approximation properties; in [18] a multifractal analysis based on the Hölder exponent is developed, and the discontinuities at rationals play a key role in the determination of the multifractal spectrum. This indicates that a multifractal analysis might also be performed on $Re(\mathcal{B})$, using the Hölder exponent instead of the p-exponent. The following heuristic argument shows what can be expected: Keeping in mind that the Hilbert transform (which maps the real part of an analytic function into its imaginary part) does not modify the value of the p-exponents (for $p \in (1, \infty)$), it follows that (58) also holds for $Re(\mathcal{B})$; thus all p-exponents of $Re(\mathcal{B})$ coincide for $p > 1$, except perhaps for $p = +\infty$. A natural conjecture therefore is that it is also the case for $p = +\infty$, i.e. that the Hölder exponent of $Re(\mathcal{B})$ is given by

$$\begin{cases} \text{if } x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}, \text{ then} & h_{Re(\mathcal{B})}(x_0) = 0, \\ \text{otherwise,} & h_{Re(\mathcal{B})}(x_0) = \dfrac{1}{\tau(x_0)}. \end{cases}$$

Note that the result clearly holds for $x_0 \in \mathbb{Q}$, because $Re(\mathcal{B})$ is discontinuous at rational points. Otherwise, since any function satisfies $h_f(x_0) \leq h_f^p(x_0)$, it follows that, if x_0 is irrational, then

$$h_{Re(\mathcal{B})}(x_0) \leq \frac{1}{\tau(x_0)}.$$

References

[1] M. Balazard, and B. Martin, Comportement local moyen de la fonction de Brjuno, *Fund. Math.* **218**:193–224, 2012.

[2] J. Barral, A. Durand, S. Jaffard and S. Seuret, Local multifractal analysis, *Fractal Geometry and Dynamical Systems in Pure and Applied Mathematics II: Fractals in Applied Mathematics* D. Carfi, M.L. Lapidus, E.P.J. Pearse, and M. van Frankenhuijsen, editors, Contemporary Mathematics, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence,**601**: 31–64, 2013.

[3] J. Barral, J. Berestycki, J. Bertoin, A. Fan, B. Haas, S. Jaffard, G. Miermont, and J. Peyrière. Quelques interactions entre analyse, probabilités et fractals. S.M.F., *Panoramas et synthèses* **32**, 2010.

[4] V. Beresnevich, D. Dickinson, and S. Velani, Measure theoretic laws for limsup sets, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* **179** n. 846: 1–91, 2006.

[5] A.D. Brjuno, Analytical form of differential equations, *Trans. Moscow Math. Soc.* **25**:131–288, 1971 and **26**:199–239, 1972.

[6] X. Buff and A. Chéritat, The Brjuno function continuously estimates the size of quadratic Siegel disk, *Ann. of Math.* **164**:265-312, 2006.

[7] A. P. Caldéron and A. Zygmund, Local properties of solutions of elliptic partial differential equations, *Studia Math.* **20**:171–227, 1961.

[8] F. Chamizo, Automorphic forms and differentiability properties, *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **356**: 1909-1935, 2004.

[9] F. Chamizo, I. Petrykiewicz, S. Ruiz-Cabello, The Hölder exponent of some Fourier series, *preprint.* 2015.

[10] F. Chamizo, and A. Ubis Multifractal behavior of polynomial Fourier series, *Adv. Math.* **250**: 1–34, 2014.

[11] D. Cheraghi and A. Chéritat, A proof of the Marmi-Moussa-Yoccoz conjecture for rotation numbers of high type, *to appear in Invent. math.*

[12] K. Falconer, Fractal geometry: Mathematical foundations and applications *John Wiley & Sons Inc., New York, 2nd edition*, 2003.

[13] A. Fraysse, Generic validity of the multifractal formalism, *SIAM Journal on Mathematical Analysis* **39**: 593–607, 2007.

[14] G.H. Hardy and E.M. Wright, An introduction to the theory of numbers, *Oxford Science Publications*, 1990.

[15] S. Jaffard, Exposants de Hölder en des points donnés et coefficients d'ondelettes, C.R.A.S. **308** Série 1: 79–81, 1989.

[16] S. Jaffard, The spectrum of singularities of Riemann's function. *Revist. Matem. Iberoamer.* **12** N. 2: 441–460, 1996.

[17] S. Jaffard, The multifractal nature of Lévy processes. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields.* **114**: 207–227, 1999.

[18] S. Jaffard, On Davenport expansions, *Fractal geometry and applications: A jubilee of Benoît Mandelbrot*, M. Lapidus and M. van Frankenhuysen, eds, *A.M.S. Proc. Symp. Pure Math.*, **72** Part 1: 273–303, 2004.

[19] S. Jaffard, Pointwise regularity associated with function spaces and multifractal analysis, *Banach Center Pub.* Approximation and Probability, T. Figiel and A. Kamont Eds. **72**: 93–110, 2006.

[20] S. Jaffard, P. Abry, C. Melot, R. Leonarduzzi, H. Wendt, Multifractal analysis based on p-exponents and lacunarity exponents, Fractal Geometry and Stochastics V, C. Bandt et al. Eds., *Progress in Probability* **70**, Birkhäuser, 279–313, 2015.

[21] S. Jaffard and C. Melot, Wavelet analysis of fractal Boundaries, Part 1: Local regularity and Part 2: Multifractal formalism. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, **258** n. 3: 513–565, 2005.

[22] A. Y. Khinchin, Continued fractions, 1964.

[23] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J.-C. Yoccoz, The Brjuno functions and their regularity properties, *Comm. Math. Phys.* **186** n. 2: 265–293, 1997.

[24] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J.-C. Yoccoz, Complex Brjuno functions, *J. Amer. Math. Soc.* **14** n. 4: 783–841, 2001.

[25] S. Marmi, P. Moussa, and J.-C. Yoccoz, Some Properties of Real and Complex Brjuno Functions, *Frontiers in Number Theory, Physics and Geometry I: On Random Matrices, Zeta Functions and Dynamical Systems,* P. Cartier, B. Julia, P. Moussa and P Vanhove Eds., Springer-Verlag: 603–628, 2006.

[26] Y. Meyer, Wavelets, Vibrations and Scalings, CRM Ser. AMS **9**, *Presses de l'Université de Montréal*, 1998.

[27] J. Oxtoby, Measure and category, *Springer, Grad. Texts Math.* **2**, 1971.

[28] Y. Pesin, Dimension Theory in Dynamical Systems: Contemporary Views and Applications, *Chicago Lectures in Mathematics, The University of Chicago Press,* 1998.

[29] I. Petrykiewicz, Hölder regularity of arithmetic Fourier series arising from modular forms, *Preprint,* 2015.

[30] I. Petrykiewicz, Convergence and modular type properties of a twisted Riemann series, *Preprint,* 2015.

[31] T. Rivoal and J. Roques, Convergence and modular type properties of a twisted Riemann series, *Uniform Distribution Theory* , **8** n. 1: 97–119, 2013.

[32] S. Seuret and A. Ubis, Local L^2-regularity of Riemann's Fourier series, *preprint*, 2015.

[33] C.L. Siegel, Iterations of analytic functions, *Ann. of Math.*, **43** n. 4: 607–612, 1943.

[34] E. Stein, Harmonic analysis: real-variable methods, orthogonality, and oscillatory integrals, *Princeton Univ. Press*, 1993.

[35] J.-C. Yoccoz, Théorème de Siegel, nombres de Bruno et polynômes quadratiques, *Astérisque* **231** n. 2: 3–88, 1995.